

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/743,925	01/18/2001	Elena Leonidovna Fokina		8866
5	7590 11/21/2002			
Galina G Chernik Pr Veteranov 31 Kv 8 St Petersburg, 198255			EXAMINER	
			JENKINS, DANIEL J	
RUSSIAN FE	DERATION	ON ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
			1742	9
			DATE MAILED: 11/21/2002	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			· Ac			
4.	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1			
	09/743,925	FOKINA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daniel J. Jenkins	1742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover si	neet with the correspondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	16(a). In no event, however within the statutory minimu rill apply and will expire SIX cause the application to be	, may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered time (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this c come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 A</u>	lugust 2002 .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-fina	l.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa			ne merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims		35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration	on.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-10</u> is/are rejected.					
_	☑ Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	r election requireme	ent.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep		to by the Examiner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been receive	ed.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	o priority under our	e.e.e.gg ray undrot tall				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 N	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No otice of Informal Patent Application (PT her:				

Application/Control Number: 09/743,925

Art Unit: 1742

- 1. The Examiner has carefully considered Applicant's response of 8/7/02. The Examiner provides additional comments in suggesting to Applicant language to overcome the rejection based on 112.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant should eliminate the parenthetical terms in claim 1 to clarify the limitation of the claims. The Examiner suggests --surface of materials selected from the group consisting of powders and substrates-- and adding to the end of the claim --wherein metals are limited to copper,platinum--.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1, 2, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Moriguchi et al.

Moriguchi et al. disclose a method of coating a powder comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/743,925

Art Unit: 1742

providing a ceramic powder (col. 8, line 39);

adding a metal powder to form a mixture (col. 8, line 40); and

mechanically alloying the mixture to coat the ceramic powder with the metal

powder by mechanically mixing in a mixer (col. 8, lines 53-58).

Moriguchi et al. further teach heating the alloyed powder in a non-oxidizing, vacuum atmosphere (col. 8, lines 38-49).

Moriguchi et al. further teach using hot pressing to sinter the powder mixture (col. 8, line 7).

6. Claims 1, 3, 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shin et al.

Shin et al. disclose a method of coating a substrate comprising:

providing a substrate (col. 1, lines 40-50);

coating the substrate with a metal powder (col. 1, lines 56-62); and rolling the powder on the substrate (col. 1, lines 59-60).

Shin et al. further disclose heating the rolled powder (col. 1, lines 67-75).

7. Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art does not teach or suggest the selection of copper monoxide or diaoxide or nickel monoxide as the coating material.

Application/Control Number: 09/743,925

Art Unit: 1742

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 10. Claims 5, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moriguchi et al.

Moriguchi et al. disclose the invention substantially as claimed (see paragraph 5 above). However, Moriguchi et al. do not disclose the range of temperature, or protective atmosphere or solvent treatment. It is common knowledge in the prior art to use temperature, or protective atmosphere or solvent treatment as means to protect an applied layer during further processing in order to avoid contamination of the applied layer. It would have been obvious to use temperature, or protective atmosphere or

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/743,925

Art Unit: 1742

solvent treatment as means to protect the applied layer of Moriguchi et al. from contimination.

11. The Examiner suggests that Applicant separate the claims into a first group directed at powders and a second group directed at substrates in order to simplify issues.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Jenkins whose telephone number is 703-306-4157. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH6:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 703-308-1146. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9593 for regular communications and 703-305-7719 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Daniel J. Jenkins Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742

dj October 20, 2002